UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/552,624	09/18/2006	Michael Van Dievoet	P70901US0	9143
	7590 12/04/200 OLMAN PLLC	EXAMINER		
400 SEVENTH	STREET N.W.	DONADO, FRANK E		
SUITE 600 WASHINGTO	N, DC 20004	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2617	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/04/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/552,624	VAN DIEVOET ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
FRANK DONADO	2617		

	FRANK DONADO	2617					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED <u>23 October 2009</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.							
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Apper for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 Comperiods:	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit eal (with appeal fee) in compliance v	t, or other evidence, www. with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request				
a) The period for reply expires <u>7 months from the mailing date of the final rejection.</u>							
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire a Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07)	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE f).	date of the final rejection FIRST REPLY WAS FII	on. LED WITHIN TWO				
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
NOTICE OF APPEAL 2. ☑ The Notice of Appeal was filed on October 23, 2009. A bithe date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply AMENDMENTS	or any extension thereof (37 CFR 4	1.37(e)), to avoid disr	nissal of the				
3. 🔲 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, b	out prior to the date of filing a brief,	will <u>not</u> be entered be	cause				
(a) They raise new issues that would require further con							
(b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo	w);						
(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or	(c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or						
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a d	corresponding number of finally reje	cted claims.					
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).							
4. $oxedsymbol{oxed}$ The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12		mpliant Amendment (I	PTOL-324).				
5. 🔲 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):							
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). 	·	•	-				
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) \(\subseteq \) will not be entered, or b) \(\subseteq \) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:							
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:							
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1 and 3-10.							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 							
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea , and was not earlier presented. Se	ll and/or appellant fails ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1)	s to provide a).				
10.	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.				
 The request for reconsideration has been considered bu <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u> 	t does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:				
12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i>(s).13. ☐ Other:	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)						
/Rafael Pérez-Gutiérrez/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2617							

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Regarding the battery charger of Mashiko not initializing data exchange, claim 1 states "...the said initialisation means being arranged to detect a charging of the power source and produce an initialisation signal after detection of such a charging...", indicating a charging of the mobile telephone must first be detected in order for initialization of said data exchange to take place. Paragraph 19, lines 17-22 states "When the portable information equipment and the battery charger are connected in the predetermined condition, information can be transmitted between the control portion for portable information equipment and the control portion for battery charger...", indicating a charging of the mobile telephone must first be detected in order for initialization of said data exchange to take place. In addition, as it is understood in the art, battery chargers for mobile phones do not take actions unless they detect a charging of said mobile phone. Regarding the battery charger of Mashiko not teaching the producing of an initialization signal after detection of said charging, please refer to Figure 6. In Paragraph 112, lines 4-9 and Paragraphs 113-114, a charging signal S0 is generated and subsequently an information signal S3 is transmitted to said microcontroller 11 that is used for data exchange in the manner described above, where both of said charging signal S0 and information signal S3 are performed by said battery charger 8. Regarding the charging of the power source not being detected by the information exchange, as stated above in Paragraph 17, lines 17-22, information is not transmitted between the control portions only upon connection, and subsequent charging thereafter, of the mobile telephone with the battery charger.